

April 15, 2010

Dear Member of Congress,

We are writing to alert you of a dangerous effort currently underway at the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Unelected bureaucrats are seeking to fundamentally alter the federal government's role in regulating the Internet by imposing so-called "net neutrality" mandates. Democratic and Republican administrations alike have agreed that a "light touch" approach to the Internet creates competition, lowers prices, incentivizes innovation, and ultimately benefits consumers. The current proposal under consideration is a harmful departure from long held successful policies. The FCC's attempt to regulate the Internet is unwise and must be opposed.

The Internet is prime example of what can be achieved when companies are free to compete without the intervention of the heavy-hand of government. America's broadband service providers have invested billions of dollars in improving their networks and consumers are winning. The Internet is faster than it's ever been, we have access to high-definition video online, and new premium online services are made available daily. The great success of the Internet has been made possible because the government has stayed out. There is no evidence of a market failure to justify the burdensome government regulations some are proposing. Unfortunately, it appears that a few FCC commissioners lack an understanding of how regulations affect investment.

Net neutrality regulations also call into question how obscenity and other objectionable content on the Internet is treated. Let's be clear, all content is not equal and does not deserve equal treatment, but net neutrality prohibits broadband service providers from prioritizing the content consumers want and preventing peddlers of child pornography from having unblocked access to every home Internet connection. It is critically important for parents and families to continue to have access to the tools necessary to keep unwanted content out of the home.

We ask that you support innovation, competition, and consumers and oppose this effort to regulate the Internet.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Schlafly
President and Founder
Eagle Forum

Penny Nance
CEO
Concerned Women of America

Grover Norquist
President
Americans for Tax Reform

Tom McClusky
Sr. Vice President
Family Research Council Action

Tim Phillips
President
Americans for Prosperity

Steve Pociask
President
American Consumer Institute

C. Preston Noell III
President
Tradition, Family, Property, Inc.

Andresen Blom
Executive Director
American Principles in Action

Bill Wilson
President
Americans for Limited Government

Lisa Correnti
President and Founder
OneNationUnderGod.org

Kelly William Cobb
Executive Director
Digital Liberty Project

Timothy Lee
Vice-President of Legal and Public Affairs
Center for Individual Freedom

Steve Elliott
Founder
Grassfire Nation

Mathew Staver
Founder and Chairman
Liberty Counsel

Chuck Muth
President
Citizen Outreach

Mario Lopez
President
Hispanic Leadership Fund

Joseph K. Grieboski
Founder and President
Institute on Religion and Public Policy

Hance Haney
Director and Senior Fellow
Technology & Democracy Project
Discovery Institute

Deal Hudson
President
Catholic Advocate

Phil Kerpen
Director
NoInternetTakeover.com

Andrea Lafferty
Executive Director
Traditional Values Coalition

Timothy B. Wildmon
President
American Family Association

Curt Levey
Executive Director
Committee for Justice

Rev. Rob Schenck
President
National Clergy Council

Phillip L. Jauregui
President
Judicial Action Group

Jamie Story
President
Grassroot Institute of Hawaii

Dave Trabert
President
Kansas Policy Institute

Larry Cirignano
President
Faith & Freedom New Jersey

John Taylor
President
Tertium Quids

Dr. Carl Herbster
President
AdvanceUSA